



## INSIDE THIS ISSUE

### TJC Perspectives

- 2023 Most Frequent Higher Risk Standards
- System Tracer Changes
- NHSN Deadline Approaching
- Emergency Power System Noncompliance

### TJC EC News

- Fire Door Inspections
- ICRA, PCRA, Life Safety Inspections, and ILSM
- Spring Cleaning

### CIHQ

- Discharge Planning

### DNV

- Validation Surveys

### ACHC

- Dialysis Surveys

### CMS

- Home Health Manual Rewrite
- EMTALA and Release of QIO Reports
- Informed Consent Process
- QAPI Revisited

## TJC PERSPECTIVES

### 2023 Most Frequent Higher Risk Standards:

The April edition of *Perspectives* contains the Joint Commission's summary of the most frequently scored standards/elements of performance for 2023 that appear on the SAFER® Matrix in the moderate-widespread and high-risk categories for each accreditation program. These categories appear on the SAFER® Matrix in the darker orange and red categories.

This does not reflect the totality of scoring or an absolute percentage of organizations with these findings, but does reflect frequently scored issues that are perceived by the surveyors as having higher risk.

This year's hospital list is very similar to the 2022 list published last April with issues relative to sterilization and high-level disinfection, medication titrations, and suicide safety remaining at the top in terms of frequency and risk category. From an environmental perspective, issues such as air pressure relationships or temperature and humidity requirements are also repeated from last year's list.

Three new elements of performance make this year's list with low level disinfection, absence of policies for screening, assessment and reassessment, and failure to perform a pre-anesthesia assessment. All accreditation program data is included and published in the April edition of *Perspectives*.

### System Tracer Changes:

Probably the most important and immediate news in *Perspectives* is the announcement that TJC is eliminating the medication and infection prevention system tracer discussions from the survey activity list. TJC states that



this change is attributable to organization and surveyor feedback and the modifications CMS made to the QAPI interpretive guidance in QSO-23-09 published in March of 2023. See our [April 2023 Patton Post](#) for a summary of that memo.

The data use system tracer is being renamed and redesigned into what will be called an Organization Quality and Performance Improvement session (OQPI). TJC states that surveyors will continue to evaluate QAPI issues including:

- Program structure
- Program scope
- Leadership direction and priority setting
- Chosen improvement methodology
- Culture of safety
- Patient safety including data collection, analysis, and monitoring
- Integration of infection prevention and medication safety in QAPI
- Monitoring of contracted services

The OQPI session will be scheduled later in the survey after surveyors have learned about the organization through individual patient tracers. TJC indicates that the surveyors will establish priorities for the session so that the appropriate staff and data can be available when it is scheduled. More details are planned for publication in the next iteration of the survey activity guide, planned for publication sometime this month. With CMS being a significant influence on the implementation of this change, see our CMS section in this edition for details on what CMS is scoring relative to QAPI issues.



### NHSN Deadline Approaching:

April's issue of *Perspectives* has a few additional details about joining the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) group, allowing TJC access to your NHSN data.

They indicate that this month TJC will send an email to each hospital asking them to identify their NHSN facility administrator no later than April 29<sup>th</sup>.



Then in May, TJC will send instructions to that individual on how to join the group and authorize TJC to access the data. This process must be completed by July 1<sup>st</sup>. If you don't get the email or encounter difficulty, the *Perspectives* article contains contact information for staff at TJC who can help you navigate any issues.

### Emergency Power System Noncompliance:

The *Consistent Interpretation* column this month focuses on an important and frequently scored standard for emergency power system inspections per EC.02.05.07, EP 4. Just under 30% of hospitals were scored noncompliant with this requirement last year. EP 4 states that the hospital must inspect the emergency power supply system every week, including all associated components and batteries.

Unfortunately, while this sounds simple and straight forward, the details about what "all associated components" means are not contained within the body of this EP. Such details are referenced in the EP in sections 8.3.1, 8.3.3, 8.3.4, 8.3.7, and 8.4.1 of the NFPA Manual 110-2010. Very often an element of performance simply paraphrases an NFPA requirement, so the details need to be obtained from the appropriate NFPA manual.

This means that the staff performing this function must have access to the NFPA manual or the individual who designs your inspection form must have included such details from the NFPA manual. In this case the Consistent Interpretation column points out that the weekly inspection components should include at a minimum: battery, radiator, hoses, fuel levels, engine oil

level, water jacket heater and exhaust system. In addition, the NFPA reference 8.3.7.1 also requires a monthly battery test to ensure your battery could start the system.

While this column focuses only on EP 4, we would encourage readers to self-assess the thoroughness of your process for each EP in EC.02.05.07. Several of these EPs that address the emergency power systems inspection and maintenance requirements are paraphrased from the more detailed NFPA references that must comprise your inspection process and documentation to be compliant with the EP.



## TJC EC NEWS

### Fire Door Inspections:

There is a very helpful article in this month's issue of *EC News* on inspecting fire door assemblies. EC.02.03.05, EP 25 requires these doors to be inspected on an annual basis, but again the EP language does not spell out all the required details and nuances of this inspection process. Note 3 to this EP provides multiple NFPA manual references that do spell out these required details, providing you actually look up each NFPA manual reference.

The good news is that the author of this article has included a link to an inspection checklist he has developed that includes these requirements. Be sure to click on this link and compare and evaluate the author's tool with your own. The author also details some frequently seen problems with fire door assemblies such as rating labels that are no longer legible, often due



to painting over the label. If this paint cannot be removed, you will have to have a company come in to rerate the assembly and put on new labels. Of course, a much better and less expensive technique is to educate the staff or contactors to the need to protect those labels during the painting process.

The author also points out the need for an accurate inventory of fire doors, including the verification of inventory from one annual inspection to another, unless you know that you have actually gained or lost doors during the year. The issue of fire door inspections is very frequently scored because an inspection was missed, an inspection was cursory and missed required elements of the evaluation, or the surveyors note that a fire door is actually nonfunctional because of an alignment defect or latching mechanism failure.

We see many accreditation reports where the surveyor has noted a defect as "observed and corrected on site." Organizations appear to find it easy to correct many of the identified defects, but you still have the RFI and need to explain corrective/preventative actions in your post survey evidence of standards compliance. The value to a thorough annual fire door inspection process cannot be overstated.

### ICRA, PCRA, Life Safety Inspections, and ILSM:

This month's *EC News* includes the second part of the article discussed last month on ICRA, PCRA, ILSM, and construction safety. In this Part 2, the author describes





issues that should be examined during your construction project such as barrier maintenance/inspection processes, security for tools and the construction site where theft or patient harm could occur to people who wander into the poorly secured area. They also advise cleanliness inspections during the project to ensure that contamination of patient care areas is not occurring.

One suggestion provided is tacky mats to help contain dust spreading from the construction site. We would also suggest inspecting those tacky mats as we sometimes see them looking like the same tacky mat has remained in place since the beginning of time, negating its effectiveness. This article is certainly worth sharing with your staff responsible for construction oversight, development of ICRA and PCRA processes and/or inspections of projects, as well as administrative leaders who make rounds.

### Spring Cleaning:

*EC News* also contains an article on “5 Tips for Spring Cleaning,” which can mean taking a look at the facility for any damage that might have occurred over the winter including leaks, mold, tripping hazards, etc. When we earlier discussed the *Perspectives* article on the most

frequently scored elements of performance, we noted that the catch all environmental defect EP, EC.02.06.01, EP 1 is on the list with over 200 organizations cited with a high risk finding due to a miscellaneous environmental defect, thus considering this spring-cleaning checkup is good advice.

This article also mentions the potential for expired cleaning chemicals, sterilants, pesticides, or test strips. The guidance to inspect for such expirations is followed by advice to risk assess which chemicals are in use and if corrosive products are present, and verifying a plumbed eyewash is available to staff using those chemicals.

These chemical warnings go hand in hand, as some chemicals fall into disuse and other new chemicals are started in use, meaning you want to verify the Safety Data sheets are available for chemicals in use and that any location that has started to use a new corrosive substance has access to a plumbed eyewash, or find an alternative for the corrosive. We often find this risk and noncompliance in outpatient areas where they may be purchasing their own chemicals or contracted cleaners are purchasing chemicals different from those in use at the main site, that may contain corrosives.



## CIHQ

### Discharge Planning:

CIHQ published two recent blog posts last month discussing discharge planning. The first March blog, “5 Tips for Discharge Planning,” provides tips which are

general in nature and advisory. This post can be found in their Medical Records category from the [CIHQ Blog Archive](#) page.

The second March blog is entitled “Performance Improvement in Discharge Planning,” and it provides guidance on issues to monitor to help evaluate the quality of the process. With QAPI becoming an increasingly important factor in accreditation or CMS surveys, these tips are very timely. Specific suggestions include monitoring hospital readmission rates and potentially preventable reasons for readmission, as well as post-acute provider communication that CMS addressed in 2023. This post can be found in their Discharge Planning category from the aforementioned archive page.



## DNV

### Validation Surveys:

DNV posted a new [podcast](#) on Spotify in March entitled “A New Model for Validation Surveys; How CMS Coordinates for Accreditation Organizations,” and they provided a brief discussion of how the new CMS concurrent validation process works.

This same podcast also provides an update from DNV on new deeming applications they have developed for

ambulatory surgical centers and psychiatric hospitals. The podcast also discussed a new cybersecurity standard they have developed as well as an optional certification process for cybersecurity. Lastly, they discussed a risk assessment process exploring risk of artificial intelligence and a new behavioral health education program on high-risk issues in caring for behavioral health patients.

## ACHC

### Dialysis Surveys:

On April 2nd, Patton Healthcare Consulting’s very own, consultant Gloria Legere, RN, MS, JD, CPHQ, CSCI delivered a compelling webinar for ACHCU Educational Resources on acute dialysis. *Dialysis: Surveyor Eyes* is a comprehensive overview describing the process to survey hospital dialysis units and details every regulatory compliance focus area from what dialysis is, to the dialysis environment, dialysis supplies, dialysis machines, and water quality, to the patient and staff.

This webinar dives deep into what the acute dialysis unit survey might look like from a surveyor’s standpoint. Photographs of potential compliance issues in the dialysis setting are exemplified throughout, asking viewers to identify what is wrong with the picture.

Fortunately, each is then accompanied by red arrows and/or an explanation pointing out each potential compliance issue. [Watch](#) the webinar to learn more about best practice solutions and sample tracer questions or [download](#) the slides for some easy reading.

# CMS

## Home Health Manual Rewrite:

CMS had a busy month with several new QSO memos, one of significant importance to home health agency readers and two for hospital readers. The home health agency memo is QSO-24-07, which rewrites Appendix B of the State Operations Manual. This change was brought about by recent new and final rules promulgated by CMS that changed the COPs and resulted in necessary changes to the interpretive guidance.

If you operate a home health agency you will want to download and begin to analyze the entire 128-page memo. There are changes to the COPs, interpretive guidance, survey protocols and tags. We anticipate that accrediting agencies will be providing detailed guidance to their surveyors and clients soon, as they begin to modify their processes to come into compliance with the new CMS expectations.



## EMTALA and Release of QIO Reports:

On March 27<sup>th</sup> CMS issued QSO 24-09 relative to EMTALA and the referral process to the QIO and potentially the HHS Office of Inspector General. CMS works with the QIO for medical opinions relative to potential EMTALA violations and later sanctions or monetary penalties. The QIO will produce what is called a 5-day report to determine if they believe an EMTALA violation occurred. This 5-day report is shared with the hospital, physician, and complainant.

Later upon request of CMS the QIO will produce a 60-day report that CMS uses to determine if the case should be referred to the OIG. During the QIO investigation

process the hospital and physician are contacted to provide additional information relative to the care provided. CMS indicates in this memo that the 60-day report MAY NOT be shared with the hospital or physician until after the OIG has completed their investigation and closed the case. This memo should be shared with your attorneys, medical staff, and ED leadership, analyzed, and education provided as needed to ensure everyone understands the importance and risk of these processes.

## Informed Consent Process:

On April 1, CMS published QSO 24-10 relative to guidance on the informed consent process. This is an interesting memo that discusses examinations or invasive procedures that may be performed by medical or advance practice professional students on patients undergoing anesthesia. The QSO includes recent references, including from the New York Times and [Annals of Surgery Open](#) that provide important background information about the concern.

Basically, patients may be receiving pelvic or rectal examinations by a student, for teaching purposes, while the patient is under anesthesia, and if so, consent should be sought in advance. The reference from [Annals of Surgery Open](#) was particularly helpful in explaining the issue.

CMS has revised the interpretive guidance for A-0955 to include guidance of a well-designed informed consent process to now include consent for this practice if applicable to your organization.





**QAPI Revisited:**

At the beginning of this month's newsletter, we mentioned changes TJC was making to the system tracer process and their focus on QAPI. This caused us to wonder what types of issues CMS is scoring during its surveys relative to QAPI. CMS publishes a massive Excel spreadsheet of all complaint survey findings on all issues. The current spreadsheet encompasses 2017-2023. There are 11 A-Tags in the State Operations Manual relative to QAPI. These are as follows:

1. A-0263: QAPI program
2. A-0273: Data collection and analysis
3. A-0283: QI activities
4. A-0286: Patient safety
5. A-0297: PI projects
6. A-0308: GB oversight, appropriate to complexity and breadth of services
7. A-0309: Executive/GB responsibilities
8. A-0315: Resources are made available to analyze
- 9-11. A-0320, A-0321, A-0322: Relative to a multihospital unified QAPI program

We sorted that spreadsheet by A-Tag to find the QAPI issues that are most frequently scored by CMS hospital surveyors. These are likely to be higher risk issues during an accreditation review and the Joint Commission's OQPI system tracer. The four most frequently scored QAPI tags were:

1. **A-0263 – 612 findings:** This is the first tag in the QAPI section and scoring this tag identifies the COP to be out of compliance. Noncompliance with this tag could be result of significant and/or multiple findings in other QAPI tags that results in a conclusion that the overall QAPI program is deficient.
2. **A-0286 – 593 findings:** This tag is the patient safety, medical errors, and adverse events tag. Issues scored here could relate to failure to identify or



report errors, failure to prevent, or failure to analyze the event.

3. **A-0283 – 423 findings:** This is the quality improvement tag which requires selection of measures and data collection for high risk, problem prone processes. Issues scored here can be a failure to collect meaningful measures, failure to improve, or a failure to measure a problem prone issue related to the issue CMS is investigating as part of their complaint.
4. **A-0273 – 373 findings:** This also requires data collection and analysis, somewhat similar to A-0283 above but it also requires 3 specific features; use of Medicare quality measures including hospital readmissions, analysis and improvement in measure data, and detailed direction from the governing body to utilize these measures.

If readers wish to analyze additional scoring patterns from CMS, the spreadsheet can be [downloaded](#) into a zip file containing two massive spreadsheets, one for findings 2010-2016 and the second for findings from 2017-2023 as discussed above. If you would like to just look at the QAPI data discussed above, we have created a spreadsheet of only QAPI findings available for [download](#).

## CONSULTANT CORNER

Dear Readers,

Please [Contact Us](#) for accreditation or regulatory assistance, we'd love to help! Have a wonderful month!

Thank You,

*Jennifer Cowel*  
RN, MHA  
[jencowel@pattonhc.com](mailto:jencowel@pattonhc.com)

*Julia Fincken*  
RN, BSN, MBA, CPHQ, LCSSMBB  
[julia.fincken@hbsinc.com](mailto:julia.fincken@hbsinc.com)

*Kurt Patton*  
MS, RPh  
[kurt@pattonhc.com](mailto:kurt@pattonhc.com)

*John Rosing*  
MHA, FACHE  
[johnrosing@pattonhc.com](mailto:johnrosing@pattonhc.com)